

SN 08/30 7703

6/11/94
H. V. Brown
S. J. 25/0

AT FAIRFLOUGH

A.T. FAIRCLOUGH
49 Blunden Road,
Farnborough, Hants,
GU14 8QL. U.K.

Tel/Fax (UK) 01252-541387

Ref.- U.S Pat No's 5,622,375 - 5,664,795 & DES 375,706

As you will see from your records I am the owner of U.S Patent No 5,622,375 P.C.T Filed Date 25th January 1994, P.C.T No P.C.T/ GB94/00138, P.C.T Pub: No WO94/16929, P.C.T Pub Date Aug 4th 94, Priority Date 26th January 1993, which pertains to pushchairs (strollers) with rear platform assemblies on which a child can stand-on and ride.

My attention has been drawn to U.S Patent No's 5,664,795 & DES 375,706 both of which are listed as being filed on the 26th June 1995 by Mr Mark Haung of 58, Ma Yuan West Street Taichung, Taiwan, who it appears, knowingly and wrongfully claims to be the inventor and originator of the Stand-On-Tandem (Sit-N-Stand) Pushchair (Stroller) illustrated, described and depicted in the aforementioned U.S Patent No's 5,664,795 and DES 375,706.

On examination of Mark Haung's alleged invention and patent, it appears that he has knowingly copied the rear platform and mounting assembly from my Patent (fig: 4 of my U.S patent 5,622,375 refers), ie: my prior art.

✓

I would respectfully draw your attention to the attached pages of the BABYTREND catalogue's, which were available to the public at the JPMA exhibitions of 1994 & 1995, pages 5 and 18 of the catalogue published in 1994, and pages 8- 9- 10 and 11 of the catalogue published in 1995 refer.

As can be seen from examination of my U.S Patent and the aforementioned Babytrend catalogues, it appears that Mark Haung can NOT rightfully or lawfully claim to be the inventor or originator of the Stand-on-Tandem (or Sit-n-Stand) to which he has laid claim and apparently wrongfully obtained a U.S Patent.

With the foregoing in mind, I wish to formally object to the grant of U.S. Patents No's 5,664,795 and DES 375,706 to mark Haung on the grounds of infringement of my U.S. Patent No 5,622,795 and my other extensive World-wide patents to which WO94/16929 refers, and on the grounds of extensive prior art.

Whilst writing to you, I would explain that Mark Haung gained access to the details of my patents during the later months of 1993 when I visited his company (Charwell) in Taiwan to discuss the possibility of his company manufacturing my patented products under an agreement with me, and since when his company Charwell has been manufacturing and supplying various models of my stand-on-tandem (Sit-n-Stands) to Babytrend in the U.S.A. and elsewhere.

Under the circumstances and apparently knowingly and wrongfully claiming my invention and prior art etc., and apparently knowingly and falsely obtaining a U.S Patent on it, could you please inform me if Mark Haung may have committed a criminal offence in the U.S.A.

I look forward respectfully to your observations, comments and your early replies and considerations. Thank you in anticipation.

Yours Faithfully,
A T Fairbough.

A. T. Fairclough.
(Inventor & Patent Owner)

COMMISSIONER OF PATENT & TRADEMARKS. U.S.A
RECEIVED 4TH APRIL 2000

DEAR SIR MAY 19 2000

IF ONE OF YOUR OFFICIALS VISITED
BABYTRENDS OFFICES AND WAREHOUSE AT:-
2019 BUSINESS PKWY, ONTARIO, C.A 91761.
OR AT:-
1567, CAMPUS AVE, ONTARIO C.A. 91761.

HE WOULD SEE HUNDREDS OF "SIT-N-STAND"
STROLLERS MANUFACTURED BY MARK HAUNGS
COMPANY "CHARWELL" WITH THE TWO "ALLEGED"
OFFENDING PATENT NOS 5,664,795 AND
DES 375,706 PRINTED ON THE BOXES, BUT
WHERE THE GOODS INSIDE THOSE BOXES
CONFORM TO MY U.S.A. PATENT NO 5,622,375.

THOSE STROLLERS DO NOT EVEN HAVE THE
"LIFT-UP" SEAT FITTED TO THEM WHICH IS DESCRIBED
ON MARK HAUNGS PATENT APPLICATION.

YOUR OFFICIALS WOULD THEN SEE THE SITUATION
AND BE WELL INFORMED DIRECTLY TO ASSESS THE
POSITION.

YOURS FAITHFULLY
A. Fairclough.

RECEIVED 4TH APRIL 2000

DEAR SIR MAY 19 2000

IF ONE OF YOUR OFFICIALS VISITED
GROUP 3600
BABYTRENDS OFFICES AND WAREHOUSE AT:-
2019 BUSINESS PKWY, ONTARIO, C.A 91761.
OR AT:-
1567, CAMPUS AVE, ONTARIO C.A. 91761.

HE WOULD SEE HUNDREDS OF "SIT-N-STAND"
STROLLERS MANUFACTURED BY MARK HAUNGS
COMPANY "CHARWELL" WITH THE TWO "ALLEGED"
OFFENDING PATENT NOS 5,664,795 AND
DES 375,706 PRINTED ON THE BOXES, BUT
WHERE THE GOODS INSIDE THOSE BOXES
CONFORM TO MY U.S.A. PATENT NO 5,622,375.

THOSE STROLLERS DO NOT EVEN HAVE THE
"LIFT-UP" SEAT FITTED TO THEM WHICH IS DESCRIBED
ON MARK HAUNGS PATENT APPLICATION.

YOUR OFFICIALS WOULD THEN SEE THE SITUATION
AND BE WELL INFORMED DIRECTLY TO ASSESS THE
POSITION.

YOURS FAITHFULLY
AT Fairclough.